## **REMARKS**

## **Summary of the Office Action**

Claims 1-2, 4-9 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0169810 of <u>Fujimori</u> et al. (hereinafter, "<u>Fujimori</u>") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,781,658 to Choi (hereinafter, "<u>Choi</u>"). Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicant has amended claims 1-3 and 18 and added new claims 21-22. Accordingly, claims 1-22 are presently pending in this application, of which claims 10-17, 19 and 20 have been withdrawn from further consideration.

### The Objection to Claim 3

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant has rewritten claim 3 in independent form. Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 3, as amended, is in <u>prima facie</u> condition of allowance in light of the Office Action's indication of allowable subject matter in this claim.

Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection to claim 3 be withdrawn.

# All Pending Claims Comply with 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-2, 4-9 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Fujimori</u> in view of <u>Choi</u>. Applicant has amended claims 1, 2 and 18 as provided herein. To the extent that these rejection might be reapplied to the amended claims, Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections and the Office Action's interpretation of the applied references for the following reasons.

In embodiments of the present invention as recited in each of independent claims 1 and 18, as amended, a reflective liquid crystal device includes at least a first gap and a data line with the feature that "the data line between the first and the second pixel regions includes a first branch line and a second branch line separated from each other by a second gap under the first gap." Support for these features is provided at least in FIGs. 10 and 11 in the instant application. For example, as depicted in FIGs. 10 and 11, the data line 118 between adjacent pixel regions P1 and P2 includes a first branch line 118a and a second branch line 118b.

1. Fujimori does not teach or suggest that the data line between the first and the second pixel regions includes a first branch line and a second branch line separated from each other by a second gap under the first gap.

The Office Action asserts at page 2 that <u>Fujimori</u> "discloses a reflective liquid crystal display device comprising a data line (<u>inherently</u>)." (Emphasis added). Assuming that a data line is inherent in <u>Fujimori</u>, Applicant respectfully submits that <u>Fujimori</u> does not teach or suggest that "the data line between the first and the second pixel regions comprises a first branch line and a second branch line separated from each other be a second gap under the first gap."

2. Choi does not teach or suggest that the data line between the first and the second pixel regions includes a first branch line and a second branch line separated from each other by a second gap under the first gap.

The Office Action asserts at page 3 that <u>Choi</u> discloses "data lines (<u>including branch data lines</u>)." (Emphasis added). Applicant respectfully submits that, as depicted in FIGs. 3 and 4 of <u>Choi</u>, neither the data line 50 nor the data line 52 of <u>Choi</u> include first and second branch lines separated from each other by a second gap under the first gap.

Thus, the combination of <u>Fujimori</u> and <u>Choi</u> does not teach or suggest the feature that "the data line between the first and the second pixel regions includes a first branch line and a second branch line separated from each other by a second gap under the first gap" as recited in each of independent claims 1 and 18. As pointed out in MPEP § 2143.03, "[to] establish <u>prima</u> <u>facie</u> obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. <u>In re Royka</u>, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974)." Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 11 and 18 are in condition for allowance as patentable over <u>Fujimori</u> in view of <u>Choi</u>.

Applicant further submits that claims 2 and 4-9 are allowable for similar reasons as set forth above with regard to independent claim 1 upon which they depend, and for the additional features they each recite. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1-2, 4-9 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

### New Claims are Patentable

Applicant has added new dependent claims 21-22. Applicant respectfully submits that new claims 21-22 are allowable for similar reasons as set forth above with regard to independent claim 1 upon which they depend, and for the additional features they each recite.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 053785-5118

Application No. 10/603,790

Page 13

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration, withdrawal of

all rejections and objections, and the timely allowance of all pending claims. Should the

Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of this response, the

Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge

the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0310. If a fee is required for an extension of time under

37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should

also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Dated: March 10, 2005

Registration No. 54.369

Customer No. 09629

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Telephone: (202) 739-3000

Facsimile: (202) 739-3001